

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Kit G. Baldwin	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2604		
10/081,862	02/20/2002		430117.413C1			
500	7590 07/02/2003					
	SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER		
SUITE 6300	VE	PHASGE, ARUN S				
SEATTLE, W	A 98104-7092					
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1753	8		
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	U		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						1 S
			Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
	OH:-	Action Comme	10/081,	862	BALDWIN ET AL.	
i 	Οπις	Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
			Arun S.		1753	
Period fo	The MAII or Reply	LING DATE of this communica	ation appears on t	he cover she	et with the correspondence address	
I HE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING L nsions of time r SIX (6) MONTI period for repl period for repl re to reply withi eply received b	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of any be available under the provisions of a HS from the mailing date of this community specified above is less than thirty (30) day is specified above, the maximum statuth in the set or extended period for reply will by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ection. lays, a reply within the story period will apply and by statute cause the ar	atutory minimum will expire SIX (6)	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
1)	Respons	ive to communication(s) filed	on			
2a) <u></u> □	This action	on is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this closed in on of Clai	s application is in condition for accordance with the practice	r allowance exce	pt for formal	matters, prosecution as to the ments is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s)	<u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the app	plication.			
•	4a) Of the	above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from co	onsideration		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1</u>	<u>-15</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement		
	on Papers			•	•	
9)□ T	he specific	cation is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
10)[] T	he drawing	g(s) filed onis/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐	objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant	may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[] T	he propos	ed drawing correction filed or	n is: a)∐ a	pproved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.	
		d, corrected drawings are requir		ffice action.	5 .	
12) 🗌 T	he oath or	declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.	S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•	
13) 🗌 🛚	Acknowled	gment is made of a claim for	foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
		Some * c) None of:			•	
•	1.☐ Certi	ified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	en received.		
2	2.☐ Certi	ified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	en received i	n Application No.	
	3.□ Copi a		he priority documenal Bureau (PCT	ents have be	een received in this National Stage	
					C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
15)∐ A	cknowledg	nslation of the foreign langua ment is made of a claim for d	ige provisional ap Iomestic priority u	phication has nder 35 U.S	s been received. .C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(•					
2) Notice 3) Notice Informa	of Draftspers ation Disclosu	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) No(s) <u>5,7</u> .	4)	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
6. Patent and Trac FO-326 (Rev.	lemark Office 04-01)	Oi	ffice Action Summar	у	Part of Paper No. 8	

Application/Control Number: 10/081,862

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,358,395 B1 in view of Okazaki, U.S. Patent 5,944,978. The claims of the instant application when read in light of the specification are rendered obvious by the invention described in the prior patent in view of the Okazaki patent.

The prior patent claims the instant method and apparatus for the treatment of water comprising first filter, reverse osmosis housing, a second filter, an electrolytic cell, a tap and an electronic control circuit (see claims 1-18).

The reference does not disclose the use of a mineral supplement device coupled to the housing containing the osmotic membrane or the types of valves or the use of carbon filters. The Okazaki patent discloses the use of the mineral supplement device, the same types of filters and various valves and the benefits obtained by these modification (see claims 1-8 and col. 3, line 8 to col. 6, line 60).

Consequently the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the claims of the prior patent in view of the Okazaki patent, because the Okazaki patent teaches that such modification would produce the improvements described therein.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (703) 308-2528. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

Art Unit: 1753

assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

asp June 29, 2003